

Some recent progress on sum-product problem

Misha Rudnev

Additive Combinatorics Edinburgh

July 25, 2024

Erdős-Szemerédi 1983, quote:

Let $1 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ be a sequence of integers. Consider the integers of the form

$$a_i + a_j, \quad a_i a_j : \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \quad (1)$$

It is tempting to conjecture that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an n_0 , so that for every $n \geq n_0$, there are more than $n^{2-\epsilon}$ distinct integers of the form (1).

Erdős-Szemerédi 1983, quote:

Let $1 < a_1 < \dots < a_n$ be a sequence of integers. Consider the integers of the form

$$a_i + a_j, \quad a_i a_j : \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n. \quad (1)$$

It is tempting to conjecture that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an n_0 , so that for every $n \geq n_0$, there are more than $n^{2-\epsilon}$ distinct integers of the form (1).

Moreover, we conjecture that for every k and $n \geq n_0(k)$ there are more than $n^{k-\epsilon}$ distinct integers of the form

$$a_{i_1} + \dots + a_{i_k}, \quad \prod_{j=1}^k a_{i_j}. \quad (2)$$

Perhaps our conjectures remain true if the a 's are real or complex numbers.

Best known: geometric methods

Tools: Solymosi's sectors, incidence theorems
(Szemerédi-Trotter, Stevens-de Zeeuw, R), Shkredov's high energy.

Best known: geometric methods

Tools: Solymosi's sectors, incidence theorems
(Szemerédi-Trotter, Stevens-de Zeeuw, R), Shkredov's high energy.

- ▶ $A + A$ versus AA over \mathbb{R} , the conjecture holds for $\epsilon > \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{1167}$ (Stevens-R, 2020 after Konyagin-Shkredov).

Best known: geometric methods

Tools: Solymosi's sectors, incidence theorems
(Szemerédi-Trotter, Stevens-de Zeeuw, R), Shkredov's high energy.

- ▶ $A + A$ versus AA over \mathbb{R} , the conjecture holds for $\epsilon > \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{1167}$ (Stevens-R, 2020 after Konyagin-Shkredov).
- ▶ Over \mathbb{C} , $\epsilon > \frac{2}{3}$ (Konyagin-R, 2014).

Best known: geometric methods

Tools: Solymosi's sectors, incidence theorems
(Szemerédi-Trotter, Stevens-de Zeeuw, R), Shkredov's high energy.

- ▶ $A + A$ versus AA over \mathbb{R} , the conjecture holds for $\epsilon > \frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{1167}$ (Stevens-R, 2020 after Konyagin-Shkredov).
- ▶ Over \mathbb{C} , $\epsilon > \frac{2}{3}$ (Konyagin-R, 2014).
- ▶ Over \mathbb{F}_p , $|A| < p^{1/2}$, $\epsilon > \frac{1}{4}$ (Mohammadi-Stevens, 2022).

Asymptotic cases: FSMP and FPMS

- ▶ Over \mathbb{C}

$$|AA| \gg \frac{|A|^4}{|A + A|^2 \log |A|}$$

Solymosi 2008.

Asymptotic cases: FSMP and FPMS

- ▶ Over \mathbb{C}

$$|AA| \gg \frac{|A|^4}{|A + A|^2 \log |A|}$$

Solymosi 2008.

- ▶ Over \mathbb{Z} first, now \mathbb{C}

$$|AA| \leq M|A| \Rightarrow |A + A| \geq M^{-\frac{2}{\epsilon}} |A|^{2-4\epsilon}.$$

for \mathbb{Z} Zhelezov-Palvölgyi 2020 after Bourgain-Chang 2004,
and now, **stronger**, \mathbb{Z} from **weak PFR** of Gowers, Green,
Manners, Tao 2023:

$$|AA| \leq M|A| \Rightarrow |A + A| \geq M^{-C} |A|^2.$$

Asymptotic cases: FSMP and FPMS

- ▶ Over \mathbb{C}

$$|AA| \gg \frac{|A|^4}{|A + A|^2 \log |A|}$$

Solymosi 2008.

- ▶ Over \mathbb{Z} first, now \mathbb{C}

$$|AA| \leq M|A| \Rightarrow |A + A| \geq M^{-\frac{2}{\epsilon}} |A|^{2-4\epsilon}.$$

for \mathbb{Z} Zhelezov-Palvölgyi 2020 after Bourgain-Chang 2004,
and now, stronger, \mathbb{Z} from **weak PFR** of Gowers, Green,
Manners, Tao 2023:

$$|AA| \leq M|A| \Rightarrow |A + A| \geq M^{-C} |A|^2.$$

- ▶ Over \mathbb{F}_p partial results only.

Energy: second moment of convolution

- ▶ (Additive) energy:

$$\begin{aligned} E(A) &= \sum_{s \in A+A} r_{A+A}^2(s) = \sum_{d \in A-A} r_{A-A}^2(d) \\ &= |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_i \in A\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Multiplicative energy $E^\times(A)$.

- ▶ Trivially $|A|^2 \leq E(A) \leq |A|^3$. Cauchy-Schwarz:

$$|A \pm A| \geq \frac{|A|^4}{E(A)}.$$

- ▶ Bookkeeping:

$$f(t) := \sum_{a \in A} e(at), \quad E(A) = \|f\|_{L^4(\mathbb{T})}^4 = \|f\|_4^4.$$

Bounding energy via the "opposite" set

- FSMP: Multiplicative via sumset, \mathbb{R} then \mathbb{C}

$$E^{\times}(A) \ll |A + A|^2 \log |A|.$$

Bounding energy via the "opposite" set

- FSMP: Multiplicative via sumset, \mathbb{R} then \mathbb{C}

$$E^{\times}(A) \ll |A + A|^2 \log |A|.$$

- FPMS: Additive via product set, first for \mathbb{Z}

$$\begin{aligned} |AA| = M|A| &\quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \geq_M |A|, \\ E(A') &\leq M^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} |A|^{2+4\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

Bounding energy via the "opposite" set

- FSMP: Multiplicative via sumset, \mathbb{R} then \mathbb{C}

$$E^{\times}(A) \ll |A + A|^2 \log |A|.$$

- FPMS: Additive via product set, first for \mathbb{Z}

$$\begin{aligned} |AA| = M|A| &\quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \geq_M |A|, \\ E(A') &\leq M^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} |A|^{2+4\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

PFR + Subspace theorem, now for \mathbb{C}

$$\exists A' \subseteq A, |A'| \geq |A|/2 : |\{a_1 - a_2 = c : a_{1,2} \in A'\}| \leq M^C |A|.$$

Bounding energy via the "opposite" set

- FSMP: Multiplicative via sumset, \mathbb{R} then \mathbb{C}

$$E^{\times}(A) \ll |A + A|^2 \log |A|.$$

- FPMS: Additive via product set, first for \mathbb{Z}

$$\begin{aligned} |AA| = M|A| &\quad \Rightarrow \quad \exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \geq_M |A|, \\ E(A') &\leq M^{\frac{2}{\epsilon}} |A|^{2+4\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

PFR + Subspace theorem, now for \mathbb{C}

$$\exists A' \subseteq A, |A'| \geq |A|/2 : |\{a_1 - a_2 = c : a_{1,2} \in A'\}| \leq M^C |A|.$$

- Is it true that

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{2-o(1)} ?$$

Balog-Wooley example, 2017

NO. The best one can expect:

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3} - o(1)}.$$

Balog-Wooley example, 2017

NO. The best one can expect:

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3} - o(1)}.$$

Given n , take $p > n^2$ and

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^n p^j[n^2].$$

Balog-Wooley example, 2017

NO. The best one can expect:

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3} - o(1)}.$$

Given n , take $p > n^2$ and

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^n p^j[n^2].$$

$$|AA| \leq \frac{|A|^{5/3}}{\log^c |A|},$$

Balog-Wooley example, 2017

NO. The best one can expect:

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3} - o(1)}.$$

Given n , take $p > n^2$ and

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^n p^j[n^2].$$

$$|AA| \leq \frac{|A|^{5/3}}{\log^c |A|},$$

$$E(A) \gg n \cdot (n^2)^3 = |A|^{7/3},$$

Balog-Wooley example, 2017

NO. The best one can expect:

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3} - o(1)}.$$

Given n , take $p > n^2$ and

$$A = \bigcup_{j=1}^n p^j[n^2].$$

$$|AA| \leq \frac{|A|^{5/3}}{\log^c |A|},$$

$$E(A) \gg n \cdot (n^2)^3 = |A|^{7/3},$$

The same for any positive density subset $A' \subseteq A$.

Matchning the BW bound

Theorem (Hanson, R, Shkredov, Zhelezov, 2023): Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$.
Then

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \gg |A|^{1-o_r(1)} : |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3}-o_r(1)},$$

Matchning the BW bound

Theorem (Hanson, R, Shkredov, Zhelezov, 2023): Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \gg |A|^{1-o_r(1)} : |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3}-o_r(1)},$$

if each $a \in A$ has $\leq r \leq \log^{1-\epsilon} |A|$ prime factors.

Matchning the BW bound

Theorem (Hanson, R, Shkredov, Zhelezov, 2023): Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$\exists A' \subseteq A : |A'| \gg |A|^{1-o_r(1)} : |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3}-o_r(1)},$$

if each $a \in A$ has $\leq r \leq \log^{1-\epsilon} |A|$ prime factors.

Corollary: For A as above and $M = \frac{|AA|}{|A|}$

$$|AA + AA| \gg \min\left(M, \frac{|A|}{M}\right) |A|^{2-o_r(1)}.$$

Ingredients

Proof ingredients:

- ▶ Structure theorem
- ▶ "*A martingale that occurs in harmonic analysis*" (Gundy and Varopoulos, 1976)
- ▶ Schmidt's (1972) subspace theorem (special case)

Ingredients

Proof ingredients:

- ▶ Structure theorem
- ▶ "*A martingale that occurs in harmonic analysis*" (Gundy and Varopoulos, 1976)
- ▶ Schmidt's (1972) subspace theorem (special case)

Theorem: Let p_1, \dots, p_r be primes and Γ a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^* , generated by them. For fixed $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \mathbb{C}^*$,

$$|\{g_1, \dots, g_l \in \Gamma : \sum_{i=1}^l a_i g_i = 1, \text{ no 0 subsum}\}| \leq (8l)^{4l^2 + l + 1}.$$

Structure theorem

Let $r_0 = \max$ # of prime factors, $r \leq r_0$. $\exists A' \subseteq A$:

$|A'| \geq |A|^{1-o_r(1)}$, a set of r primes, generating group Γ , and coprime therewith B :

- ▶ 50% pairs (b, b') coprime ,
- ▶ $\forall a \in A'$, $a = (p_1^{v_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_r^{v_r})b := gb$, i.e. $A' = \bigsqcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$,
- ▶ $\exists L$: each $|\Gamma_b| \approx L$, and $L = \prod_{j=1}^r L_j$,
- ▶ roughly L_j , $j = 1, \dots, r$ of p_j valuations in each Γ_b .

Structure theorem

Let $r_0 = \max$ # of prime factors, $r \leq r_0$. $\exists A' \subseteq A$:

$|A'| \geq |A|^{1-o_r(1)}$, a set of r primes, generating group Γ , and coprime therewith B :

- ▶ 50% pairs (b, b') coprime ,
- ▶ $\forall a \in A'$, $a = (p_1^{v_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_r^{v_r})b := gb$, i.e. $A' = \bigsqcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$,
- ▶ $\exists L$: each $|\Gamma_b| \approx L$, and $L = \prod_{j=1}^r L_j$,
- ▶ roughly L_j , $j = 1, \dots, r$ of p_j valuations in each Γ_b .
- ▶ So $L|B| = |A|^{1-o_r(1)}$, and we lose L on products:

$$|AA| \gg \frac{|A|^2}{L}.$$

Cheap exponent 3/2

Structure theorem + Chang's lemma

Cheap exponent 3/2

Structure theorem + Chang's lemma

Lemma: (Chang, 2003) Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$, symmetric, p prime and $A_v := \{a \in A : \nu_p(a) = v\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(A) &\ll \sum_v \{ E(A_v, A) = |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_1, a_2 \in A_v\}| \} \\ &\leq \sqrt{E(A)} \sum_v \sqrt{E(A_v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Cheap exponent 3/2

Structure theorem + Chang's lemma

Lemma: (Chang, 2003) Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}$, symmetric, p prime and $A_v := \{a \in A : \nu_p(a) = v\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(A) &\ll \sum_v \{ E(A_v, A) = |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_1, a_2 \in A_v\}| \} \\ &\leq \sqrt{E(A)} \sum_v \sqrt{E(A_v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$E(A') \ll L^2 E(B) \leq L^2 |B|^3, \quad |AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{3/2-o_r(1)}.$$

From 3/2 to 5/3

- ▶ **Main Proposition: (Martingales)** Let Γ be generated by p_1, \dots, p_r , let $A = \bigcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$, symmetric. Then

$$E(A) \ll_r |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : v_\Gamma(a_1) = v_\Gamma(a_2), v_\Gamma(a_3) = v_\Gamma(a_4)\}|$$

From 3/2 to 5/3

- ▶ **Main Proposition: (Martingales)** Let Γ be generated by p_1, \dots, p_r , let $A = \bigcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$, symmetric. Then

$$E(A) \ll_r |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : v_\Gamma(a_1) = v_\Gamma(a_2), v_\Gamma(a_3) = v_\Gamma(a_4)\}|$$

Thus

$$E(A') \ll |A'|^2 + L|B|^2 \cdot |\{b_1 - b_2 \in c\Gamma \mid b_{1,2} \in B\}|.$$

From 3/2 to 5/3

- ▶ **Main Proposition:** (Martingales) Let Γ be generated by p_1, \dots, p_r , let $A = \bigcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$, symmetric. Then

$$E(A) \ll_r |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : v_\Gamma(a_1) = v_\Gamma(a_2), v_\Gamma(a_3) = v_\Gamma(a_4)\}|$$

Thus

$$E(A') \ll |A'|^2 + L|B|^2 \cdot |\{b_1 - b_2 \in c\Gamma \mid b_{1,2} \in B\}|.$$

- ▶ **Lemma:** (Subspace) For $0 < \epsilon < 1/6$, $r \leq \log^{1-6\epsilon} |B|$,

$$|\{b_1 - b_2 \in c\Gamma \mid b_{1,2} \in B\}| \leq |B| \exp(\log^{1-\epsilon} |B|).$$

From 3/2 to 5/3

- ▶ **Main Proposition:** (Martingales) Let Γ be generated by p_1, \dots, p_r , let $A = \bigcup_{b \in B} b\Gamma_b$, symmetric. Then

$$E(A) \ll_r |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : v_\Gamma(a_1) = v_\Gamma(a_2), v_\Gamma(a_3) = v_\Gamma(a_4)\}|$$

Thus

$$E(A') \ll |A'|^2 + L|B|^2 \cdot |\{b_1 - b_2 \in c\Gamma \mid b_{1,2} \in B\}|.$$

- ▶ **Lemma:** (Subspace) For $0 < \epsilon < 1/6$, $r \leq \log^{1-6\epsilon} |B|$,

$$|\{b_1 - b_2 \in c\Gamma \mid b_{1,2} \in B\}| \leq |B| \exp(\log^{1-\epsilon} |B|).$$

Together, using $|A|^{1-o_r(1)} = L|B|$, we win L^2 on energy:

$$\frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq \frac{|A|^{4-o_r(1)}}{|A|^2 + L|B|^{3+o(1)}} = \frac{|A|^{5-o_r(1)}}{|A|^3 + |AA|^2}. \quad \square$$

One common prime: $\text{rank}(\Gamma) = 1$

Input:

$$A = \bigcup_v (A_v = p^v B_v) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\} p^{V(b)}.$$

One common prime: $\text{rank}(\Gamma) = 1$

Input:

$$A = \bigcup_v (A_v = p^v B_v) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\} p^{V(b)}.$$

Main Proposition (rank 1):

$$E(A) \ll \sum_{v, v'} |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_1, a_2 \in A_v; a_3, a_4 \in A_{v'}\}|.$$

One common prime: $\text{rank}(\Gamma) = 1$

Input:

$$A = \bigcup_v (A_v = p^v B_v) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\} p^{V(b)}.$$

Main Proposition (rank 1):

$$E(A) \ll \sum_{v, v'} |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_1, a_2 \in A_v; a_3, a_4 \in A_{v'}\}|.$$

Thus $E(A') \ll |A'|^2 +$

$$|A'| |B| \cdot \max_c |\{(b, b') \in B \times B : b - b' \in c\Gamma\}| \leq |A'|^2 + L|B|^{3+o(1)}.$$

One common prime: $\text{rank}(\Gamma) = 1$

Input:

$$A = \bigcup_v (A_v = p^v B_v) = \bigcup_{b \in B} \{b\} p^{V(b)}.$$

Main Proposition (rank 1):

$$E(A) \ll \sum_{v, v'} |\{a_1 - a_2 = a_3 - a_4 : a_1, a_2 \in A_v; a_3, a_4 \in A_{v'}\}|.$$

Thus $E(A') \ll |A'|^2 +$

$$|A'| |B| \cdot \max_c |\{(b, b') \in B \times B : b - b' \in c\Gamma\}| \leq |A'|^2 + L |B|^{3+o(1)}.$$

No Subspace theorem needed for $r = 1$.

$$|AA| + \frac{|A'|^4}{E(A')} \geq |A|^{\frac{5}{3}-o(1)}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Main Prop: Square function, rank 1

Main Prop, rank 1: One has $\|f\|_4 \ll \|S_p[f]\|_4$, with

$$f(t) = \sum_{v \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_p(a)=v} \hat{f}(a) e(at),$$

$$S_p[f](t) = \left(\sum_{v \geq 0} \left| \sum_{\nu_p(a)=v} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{v \geq 0} |f_v(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof of Main Prop: Square function, rank 1

Main Prop, rank 1: One has $\|f\|_4 \ll \|S_p[f]\|_4$, with

$$f(t) = \sum_{v \geq 0} \sum_{\nu_p(a)=v} \hat{f}(a) e(at),$$

$$S_p[f](t) = \left(\sum_{v \geq 0} \left| \sum_{\nu_p(a)=v} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{v \geq 0} |f_v(t)|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem: (Burkholder-Gundy, 1970) For $1 < q < \infty$

$$\|S_p[f]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \ll_q \|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})}, \quad \|M[f]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})} \ll_q \|S_p[f]\|_{L^q(\mathbb{T})},$$

with

$$f_{\geq v}(t) := \sum_{\nu_p(a) \geq v} \hat{f}(a) e(at), \quad M[f](t) := \sup_{v \geq 0} f_{\geq v}(t).$$

Square function, one prime, cont.

On the proof of BG-Theorem:

$$f_{\geq v}(t) = \sum_{v' \geq v} \left(\sum_{\nu_p(a)=v'} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right) = p^{-v} \sum_{j=0}^{p^v-1} f\left(t + \frac{j}{p^v}\right).$$

So,

$f_{\geq N}, f_{\geq N-1}, \dots, f_{\geq 1}, f$ is a martingale.

Square function, one prime, cont.

On the proof of BG-Theorem:

$$f_{\geq v}(t) = \sum_{v' \geq v} \left(\sum_{\nu_p(a)=v'} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right) = p^{-v} \sum_{j=0}^{p^v-1} f\left(t + \frac{j}{p^v}\right).$$

So,

$f_{\geq N}, f_{\geq N-1}, \dots, f_{\geq 1}, f$ is a martingale.

Burkholder-Gundy theorem follows from standard martingale square/maximal function inequalities, developed in the 60s.

Square function, one prime, cont.

On the proof of BG-Theorem:

$$f_{\geq v}(t) = \sum_{v' \geq v} \left(\sum_{\nu_p(a)=v'} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right) = p^{-v} \sum_{j=0}^{p^v-1} f\left(t + \frac{j}{p^v}\right).$$

So,

$f_{\geq N}, f_{\geq N-1}, \dots, f_{\geq 1}, f$ is a martingale.

Burkholder-Gundy theorem follows from standard martingale square/maximal function inequalities, developed in the 60s.

Main Prop rank 1 is a direct consequence of Chang's lemma and Doob's martingale maximal inequality:

$$\|f\|_4^4 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{v \geq 0} |f_v(t)|^2 |f_{\geq v}(t)|^2 dt \leq \|M[f]\|_4^2 \|S[f]\|_4^2 \ll \|f\|_4^2 \|S[f]\|_4^2.$$

Rank > 1: Khintchine, randomisation, inverse

Recall:

$$f(t) = \sum_{v \geq 0} f_v = \sum_{v \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\nu_p(a)=v} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right).$$

Let random $\xi(v) \in \{\pm 1\}$, , independently, $f_\xi = \sum_{v \geq 0} \xi(v) f_v$.

$$S_p[f] = S_p[f_\xi].$$

Furthermore, point-wise in t

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_\xi |f_\xi(t)|^4 &= \sum_{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4} f_{v_1}(t) f_{v_2}(t) \overline{f_{v_3}(t)} \overline{f_{v_4}(t)} \mathbb{E}(\xi(v_1) \xi(v_2) \xi(v_3) \xi(v_4)) \\ &\ll \left(\sum_v |f_v(t)|^2 \right)^2 = S_p[f](t)^4. \end{aligned}$$

Fetch another common prime q :

Let

$$f_v = \sum_{w \geq 0} f_{v,w} = \sum_{w \geq 0} \left(\sum_{\nu_p(a)=v, \nu_q(a)=w} \hat{f}(a) e(at) \right), \quad f = \sum_{v,w \geq 0} f_{v,w}$$

Take a random $\eta(s) \in \{\pm 1\}$, similarly (Khintchine)

$$\mathbb{E}_{\xi,\eta} \|f_{\xi,\eta}\|_4^4 \ll \left\| \sum_{v,w} |f_{v,w}|^2 \right\|_2^2 := \|S_{p,q}[f]\|_4^4.$$

Take a realisation (ξ, η) , where this is the case. Now use BG-theorem: inverse/direct/inverse/direct:

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{\xi,\eta}\|_4 &\gg \|S_p[f_{\xi,\eta}]\|_4 = \|S_p[f_\eta]\|_4 \gg \|f_\eta\|_4 \\ &\gg \|S_q[f_\eta]\|_4 = \|S_q[f]\|_4 \gg \|f\|_4, \end{aligned}$$

iterate \square

Thank you